

Article's review form**Reviewer:**
(title, degree, given name(s) and family name(s))**Affiliation address:**
.....
.....
(affiliation, street, postal code, city)**Article's title:**
.....
.....

Structure	1	Does the title correspond to the content of the study?	
			yes
			no
	2	Does the abstract reflect the essence of the article?	
			yes
			no
	3	Does the article include all needed paragraphs (abstract, introduction, explication, summary)?	
			yes
			not, do not includes.....
	4	Does the aim, key point and genesis of the study clearly result from the article?	
			yes
			no
Content development	5	Is the topic of the study relevant?	
			yes
			yes, with reservations
			no
	6	Is the topic and content of the study innovative?	
			original and/or innovative
			contains original components or approaches
			does not contain original elements
	7	Is the presented research material sufficient?	
			sufficient
			insufficient
	8	Are the studying methods chosen properly to the subject?	
		properly chosen and described	
		inaccurate choice or description	
		incorrect choice or description	
9	Is the interpretation of the results correct?		
		correct and complete	
		needs to be expanded	
		incorrect or incomplete	

	10	Is the chosen bibliography relevant and sufficient?	
		relevant and sufficient	
		relevant but insufficient	
		irrelevant but sufficient	
		irrelevant and insufficient	
Content presentation	11	Is the terminology used in the work relevant and does it follow the applied norms and customs?	
		yes	
		yes, with reservations	
		no	
	12	Is the language of the work correct and chosen properly?	
		yes	
		yes, with reservations	
		no	
	13	Are the SI units consistently chosen and used?	
		yes	
		yes, with reservations	
		no	
Content presentation	14	Are the examples and illustrations chosen properly?	
		well prepared and clear	
		needs amendments	
		incorrect selection and quality	
	15	Are the tables and graphs presented correctly?	
		well prepared and clear	
		needs amendments	
		incorrect selection and quality	
	16	Are the tables, graphs and illustrations consistent with the content?	
		yes	
		yes, with reservations	
		no	
Content presentation	17	Are the statistical methods properly selected?	
		appropriate choice	
		acceptable after suggested corrections	
		none although needed	
Application	18	May the method and the obtained results be used by other researchers in the field?	
		noticeable high potential	
		reasonably possible use	
		low probability	

19	Summary comment:	(please attach copies of any additional commentary pages if necessary)
20	Final rating:	Mark the option
	The work is fit for printing:	
	a) in the presented form and size	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b) after considering listed editorial corrections*	<input type="checkbox"/>
	c) after considering listed factual and methodological corrections*	<input type="checkbox"/>
	d) after reducing the size*	<input type="checkbox"/>
	e) after filling up or elaborating on the research in accordance with the remarks stated below*	<input type="checkbox"/>
	f) in another periodical	<input type="checkbox"/>
21	*Resend the paper to me after considering requested amendments	
22	The work is not fit for printing	
23	Detailed comments are marked on text of the work	
24	Other remarks:	(please attach copies of any additional remarks pages if necessary)
Signature:		